

Maritime Summary Note n°283 – September 2026

« The challenges of electricity in maritime transport »

The energy challenges confronting contemporary maritime activities stem primarily from strict regulatory constraints—specifically carbon caps, international environmental mandates, and upcoming taxation frameworks—now aligned around the ultimate milestone of global decarbonization. Concurrently, there features a vastly more distant and less discussed perspective than the mere depletion of fossil fuel hydrocarbons: the long-term volumetric availability and pricing architecture of future marine fuels.

For 150 years, propelling a vessel—whether small or large, dedicated to commercial freight or specialized services—has relied with high operational efficiency on the internal combustion of hydrocarbons within thermal engines. Greenfield alternatives remain highly restricted: biofuels and biogas derived from living matter suffer from severe macro-production and land-use limits, while wind-assisted propulsion (le vélisque) operates predominantly as an auxiliary solution within hybrid propulsion architectures.

Consequently, the maritime industry must evaluate the alternative premier energy source driving our modern world: electricity. By structural essence, electricity operates as a high-powered energy, yet remains tightly bound to a grid network, rendering it a priori poorly or not adapted to mobile offshore assets.

Nevertheless, electricity has transformed into a critical new battlefield for the maritime world, deeply involving international shipowners, naval shipbuilders, and port authorities. The technical challenges of electrification are numerous; this constituted the core focus of the landmark Symposium organized in June by ISEMAR and EDF in Nantes, whose baseline diagnostics are audited within this study.

Onboard Power Infrastructures and the Legacy of Thermal Propulsion

The premier technological revolution of maritime transport materialized during the 19th century through steam-powered motorization, originally driven by coal combustion to mechanically move paddle wheels and subsequently subsea propellers. The secondary revolution was marked at the dawn of the 20th century by the universal adoption of internal combustion engines running on liquid hydrocarbons. Heavy marine fuel oil delivers a paramount logistical advantage: it is a highly concentrated liquid energy easily stored within port bunkering terminals and onboard double-bottom tanks.

The high-speed steam turbine, followed by the low-speed two-stroke internal combustion diesel engine, successfully converted chemical energy into mechanical movement through massive cylinders, single-handedly unleashing the historic race to maritime gigantism. Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO) became universally available and highly economical, operating as a residual co-product of the industrial oil refining matrix. Concurrently, ocean-going vessels were standardly equipped with auxiliary diesel generator sets, burning marine gasoil (MGO) to manufacture the substantial electrical power required for onboard operations and passenger hotel loads.

During the 2000s, the maritime market witnessed the irruption of dual-fuel engines, engineered to simultaneously consume fuel oil and Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). Today, electricity is already deeply embedded within specialized marine propulsion systems. While deep-sea container ships, crude oil tankers, and dry bulk carriers standardly utilize direct-drive mechanical transmissions, alternative segments—such as mega-cruise liners (relying on electric propellers and swiveling azimuth pods), offshore drilling units, and icebreakers—rely structurally on diesel-electric powertrains. As a regional example, P&O Cruises' two next-generation ro-pax ferries deployed at the Calais-Dover strait are equipped with this specific diesel-electric motorization layout. Finally, onboard electricity covers hyper-specific industrial loads: including refrigerated reefer containers and the permanent reliquefaction or management of LNG boil-off gas.

Consequently, the contemporary role of electricity onboard deep-sea merchant vessels remains rigidly restricted to feeding advanced technological systems (navigational avionics, automated control grids, fluid

pumps) and sustaining life onboard for crew and passengers. The greenfield question henceforth reads: can electricity ascend as a primary energy for naval propulsion?

The contemporary answer remains straightforward: electricity can single-handedly propel uniquely small-scale vessels. Inshore passenger launches and boutique port service crafts require limited energy baselines; a medium-sized short-sea coaster already demands exponentially higher inputs, while a conventional ro-ro ferry commands massive power requirements due to passenger hotel loads. Giant ocean-going vessels—including ultra-large container ships, capesize bulkers, and VLCC tankers—are completely excluded from this paradigm, to say nothing of energy-intensive mega-cruise ships.

Is it technically viable to replicate through electricity what the internal combustion engine and liquid hydrocarbons successfully achieved? The contemporary reality is unassailable: full-electric primary mobility is accessible uniquely within highly compressed operational cases. It answers hyper-specific operational demands: maximizing high torque power over tightly restricted operational timelines, and unlocking short-range autonomy for highly eco-efficient workflows.

Indeed, the core engineering bottleneck of maritime electrification does not reside in the nominal power output of the electric motor itself (measured in kW), but fundamentally in the volumetric energy storage density of the battery packs (measured in kWh). The raw physical volume and deadweight displacement of battery technologies constitute an unyielding design constraint. Furthermore, executing high-voltage rapid charging cycles imposes another severe infrastructural bottleneck; under no circumstance can battery replenishment workflows match the seamless, high-velocity operational speed of pumping liquid chemical fuels.

Consequently, full-electric propulsion configurations are rigidly reserved for small-tonnage vessels: such as aquaculture workboats, localized tourist sightseeing launches, urban water-buses, port service crafts, and offshore wind farm Crew Transfer Vessels (CTVs). For passenger vessels, migrating to full-electric power is systematically triggered either by strict environmental zoning mandates (such as entering designated marine protected areas or national parks) or by the political will of public transit authorities to enforce zero-emission transport corridors.

Within the port services sector, adopting electricity represents the ultimate pathway toward deep dockside decarbonization. Specialized test vessels are already operational across French waterfronts: highlighting a full-electric mooring launch deployed at Montoir-de-Bretagne (Port of Nantes-Saint-Nazaire), a specialized pilot boat operating in Sète, and a heavy full-electric harbor tug commissioned in Antwerp. In all these operational setups, transit distances remain structurally short, yet the instantaneous power torque demanded remains paramount. Further offshore—where transit distances lengthen and sea states degrade—engineering a full-electric CTV remains a severe industrial challenge, a milestone that Dutch shipbuilder *Damen* has actively pioneered by bringing a dedicated model to the commercial market since 2024. Across all these vessels, the extreme operational flexibility and instantaneous response of electric powertrains operate as premier commercial and nautical assets.

The transition to upsized vessel dimensions is rigidly conditioned by the transit distance to be covered. An ultra-large merchant vessel—such as a mega-container ship routing between Singapore and Rotterdam—would consume an astronomical 12 GWh for primary propulsion and require a deadweight of 50,000 tonnes of batteries. Symmetrically, a regional feeder vessel sailing between Oslo and Rotterdam for a 35-hour navigation loop would consume 350 MWh, necessitating the structural equivalent of 6,000 tonnes of battery packs. Consequently, electricity cannot operate as a viable propulsion solution for large-tonnage ships due to the dual constraint of physical vessel size paired with transoceanic distance.

Conversely, a highly specific category of vessels operates across rigidly compressed distances. To be operationally clear, full-battery configurations are currently reserved for small-scale ferries and river-bacs—exemplified by Norway's intense deployment of electric hulls designed to secure a powerful symbolic decarbonization. These operations span a few nautical miles across a localized fjord.

Nevertheless, marine architects are engineering larger concepts, designing vessels that embed 5 to 10 MWh of onboard battery capacity, enabling them to clear 20 to 30 nautical miles before requiring a complete dockside electrical replenishment.

Full-electric passenger vessels are rapidly multiplying across European short-sea straits. Since 2018, the 100-meter-long boutique ferries servicing the Swedo-Danish Helsingborg–Helsingør route (a 5-nautical-mile, 8-kilometer crossing) were comprehensively converted to battery power, deploying an 11 MW powertrain. Symmetrically, the Germano-Danish Puttgarden–Rødby corridor (13 nm, 24 km) has commissioned this calendar year a 147-meter-long full-electric ferry packing a 10 MW capacity. These vessels function technically as high-volume maritime shuttles.

The immediate step above focuses on utility-scale, large-tonnage car-ferries. The Finnish carrier Viking Line has finalized an ambitious blueprint to deploy a full-electric ferry between Helsinki and Tallinn by the 2030 horizon. Baptized the *Helios* Project, this 195-meter-long vessel is custom-engineered to cross 43 nautical miles within a compressed two-hour timeline. The onboard battery architecture will command an unmatched capacity of 85 to 100 MWh, backed by a high-voltage 30 MW rapid-charging interface installed in each homeport.

Symmetrically, DFDS plans to deploy a matching configuration by 2035 along the strategic Calais–Dover trans-Manche strait (27 nautical miles). This vessel is forecasted to consume 8.4 MWh per crossing (encompassing open-sea steaming, portside maneuvering, and mandatory safety reserves), sustained by a 45 MWh battery network that will be fully replenished during its standard 45-minute port turnaround time via a high-voltage 30 MW shoreside power connection.

High-Speed Electric Crafts and the Incat Wave

High-speed passenger crafts are identically integrated within this technological wave. While their high-velocity operational profiles consume massive baselines of energy, fabricating their hulls from lightweight marine-grade aluminum drastically mitigates structural deadweight constraints.

The Tasmanian shipbuilder Incat, globally specialized in catamaran engineering, is actively developing a milestone 130-meter-long high-speed ferry capable of transporting 2,100 passengers and 225 vehicles. Its premier commercial deployment will bridge the transcontinental river route connecting Argentina and Uruguay for the South American operator Buquebus. To sustain a commercial service speed of 24 knots, the catamaran will embed nine specialized Wärtsilä e-motors generating 2,400 kW each, pooling a total battery-backed power architecture of 41.2 MWh. Concurrently, a symmetric 55 MW high-speed project is being driven by the Danish operator *Molslinjen*, while multiple alternative European passenger shipping conglomerates are actively positioning order pipelines for this type of fast-crafted electric vessel.

The prominent European NGO Transport & Environment (T&E) published a comprehensive empirical study this year, revealing that out of the 903 vessels tracking above 400 gross tonnage (GT) and certified to transport more than 12 passengers across European waters, fully 20% are already technically eligible for comprehensive, full-electric conversion in 2025.

The organization calculates that driven by the regular downward pricing curve of battery cells paired with rapid technological improvements and the escalating financial weight of the EU ETS maritime carbon tax, the proportion of technically eligible and economically profitable vessels will skyrocket to 43% by 2030, and reach a dominant 52% by the 2035 horizon.

T&E fiercely lobbies international regulators to extend mandatory electrification parameters to all commercial hulls exceeding the 400 GT threshold. Consequently, European ferry corridors establish themselves as the premier welcoming ground for maritime electrification; pursuant to T&E's logistics mapping, 57% of the regional ports calling these passenger lines will uniquely require a baseline grid infrastructure capacity of 5 MW to satisfy charging demands.

The Battery Bottleneck and the Integration of Hybrid Power

Migrating to electricity imposes a paradigm shift in naval architecture. To engineer greenfield vessels, shipwrights must FACTOR in a fundamental, inverse physical rule: an electric motor is significantly lighter than a legacy internal combustion engine, yet storing energy inside battery packs is vastly heavier than storing chemical fuel inside conventional steel tanks.

This physical reality heavily complicates the retrofitting or remotorization of the existing legacy fleet, as structural modifications frequently prove complex and overly capital-intensive. Consequently, next-generation electric vessels must be custom-designed (*designed from scratch*) around the geometric constraints of their specific e-powertrains and the heavy balancing displacement of their massive battery rooms.

Concurrently, the primary challenge targets battery chemistry itself. The engineering goal remains simple: optimizing cell chemistry to store a drastically higher energy density within an identical physical volume. The absolute bulk of these technological breakthroughs will exit Chinese industrial complexes, which single-handedly dominate global manufacturing volumes, advanced R&D, and patent innovations.

Indeed, beyond the automotive sector, Beijing's mega-factories must answer the urgent electrification demands of heavy transport modes, encompassing logistics trucking, rail networks, and maritime shipping. For heavy-duty marine applications, Chinese researchers are prioritizing cells built upon Lithium Iron Phosphate (LFP) or Sodium-ion (Na-ion) chemical bases; while demonstrating a lower nominal

energy density per unit compared to cobalt-based alternatives, they are significantly more economical to manufacture at industrial scale and deliver enhanced thermal stability.

Ultimately, the integration of marine batteries expands far beyond full-electric ships, transforming into a critical secondary energy source driving hybrid propulsion architectures. It is precisely through hybridity that electricity will execute its most widespread, near-term breakthrough across medium-tonnage commercial vessels.

Onboard battery packs act as high-efficiency energy buffers, seamlessly absorbing demand spikes (*peak shaving*) to prevent auxiliary diesel generators from running in sub-optimal, low-load regimes—a mechanical optimization that reduces fleet fuel consumption by 10% to 15%. During delicate port maneuvering phases, shifting to a 100% full-electric operational mode perfectly answers local zero-emission mandates, while unlocking the highly precise, adjusted torque required to master the final meters of vessel berthing and swinging basin maneuvers.

The commercial fleet operated by Brittany Ferries establishes a premier global textbook case of next-generation hybrid propulsion architectures. Its newly commissioned vessels are structurally equipped with an onboard network of 1,152 battery cells aggregating a deadweight displacement of 130 tonnes, unlocking an autonomous full-electric steaming window of approximately 45 minutes, custom-engineered to execute low-emission port entries and dockside maneuvering phases.

During open-sea transit, these marine battery packs are continuously charging via high-output auxiliary generator sets fueled by conventional diesel or Liquefied Natural Gas. Symmetrically, their shoreside high-voltage charging infrastructure is being deployed along a strict regional master schedule: entering full operational readiness at Portsmouth in 2026, followed by Ouistreham (Port of Caen-Ouistreham) and Saint-Malo in 2027.

To comprehensively evaluate battery deployment, naval architects must rigorously factor in the specific, high-risk hazards native to electrical storage technologies. High-profile maritime casualties involving electric vehicle fires aboard specialized car carriers (PCTC fleets) forcefully underscore the absolute volatility of these cargoes. Within a ship's internal battery room, the paramount existential hazard resides in thermal runaway, a chemical short-circuit chain reaction that triggers catastrophic cell combustion, the mass release of toxic and flammable gaseous vapors, and a subsequent high-intensity explosion footprint. Furthermore, the harsh, unyielding reality of the blue-water environment—characterized by continuous low-frequency structural vibrations, heavy mechanical wave shocks, salt-air corrosion, and extreme ambient humidity—constitutes a permanent challenge to the structural integrity of electrical cells. Consequently, maritime electrical engineering mandates advanced technical innovations, spearheaded by next-generation emergency cooling systems utilizing direct immersion inside dielectric fluids.

As across all commercial shipping domains, the global insurance syndicates and international classification societies operate as the primary institutional bodies to actively benchmark, audit, and underwrite these emerging operational risks. To address the current statutory vacuum, the IMO is urgently mandated to finalize a comprehensive, binding international safety regulatory framework, which must run in parallel with the advanced technical formation and specialized safety certification of contemporary seafarers face to the innovations of high-voltage marine electrification.

Next-Generation Onboard Power Generation: Hydrogen Fuel Cells and SMR Nuclear Reactors

An alternative or complementary mode of generating electrical energy onboard resides within hydrogen fuel cells, which chemically convert stored hydrogen into clean electricity. This technical solution establishes itself as an increasingly viable option to produce onboard power, particularly across small-tonnage luxury expedition cruise ships. In 2022, the *Viking Neptune*—constructed by Italy's Fincantieri—was equipped with a pioneering, 100 kW experimental hydrogen fuel cell module designed to generate clean electricity for internal hotel loads. Accelerating this technological momentum, the *Viking Libra* will fully integrate electric power for its primary naval propulsion.

Concurrently, the paradigm of civil marine nuclear propulsion was extensively tested during the 1950s and 1960s by the United States, Japan, and West Germany, whereas Russia has long deployed an operational fleet of nuclear-powered icebreakers. Long dormant in commercial shipping, this nuclear question is being forcefully re-ignited by the technological development of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) across multiple global jurisdictions.

Nevertheless, the associated operational bottlenecks remain numerous: encompassing the technical management of extreme power outputs, long-term maritime accident and radiological risk management, and the public and political perception of nuclear energy within international commercial harbors. It will,

down the line, firmly establish itself as one of the single most decisive strategic questions confronting the global shipping industry throughout the 21st century.

The Port Interface Challenge: Cold Ironing Infrastructures and Regulatory Shocks

The primary dimension of electrification within port complexes resides in shoreside power (cold ironing), which masterminds the high-voltage delivery of landside grid energy to substitute auxiliary generator sets during commercial berths. The operational stake targets enabling merchant vessels to completely shut down their diesel-fueled auxiliary engines while at quay, replacing them with a terrestrial power supply. Throughout the 2010s, shoreside power was introduced as an indispensable solution to drastically mitigate local atmospheric pollution and fine particulate emissions inside urban ports, culminating in a dedicated ISO standard in 2019 engineered to guarantee worldwide technical interoperability.

Conversely, the technical challenge of electrical frequency remains fully unresolved: Western Europe's terrestrial grid operates at 50 Hz whereas the United States network is built upon 60 Hz, legally and mechanically mandating modern vessels to embed onboard conversion systems to seamlessly manage both standards.

Historically, the premier ports equipped with cold ironing grids were located in California, driven by a stringent statutory mandate passed by the state government in 2014. The Port of Hamburg subsequently organized an industrial pilot project in 2016, closely followed by the Port of Marseille, which electrified dedicated berths for the ro-pax ferries of *La Méditerranée* as early as 2017.

Since then, shoreside power connections have rolled out regularly across European ranges; concurrently, North American progression remains slow albeit accelerating across luxury cruise terminals, while mainland China is aggressively engineering its own national waterfront grids.

Henceforth, the electrification of European port berths stems directly from a binding, statutory obligation. The overarching political European Green Deal of 2019 and the comprehensive "Fit for 55" legislative package of 2021 locked in definitive decarbonization milestones, translated into two core shoreside power regulations fully applicable since the 2024 baseline.

First, the FuelEU Maritime regulation legally mandates that all cruise ships and cellular container vessels must connection-plug into terrestrial power grids across principal European gateways starting from the 2030 horizon. Over the long term, this framework dictates that the electricity supplied at berth must exit exclusively from 100% renewable or fully decarbonized energy sources.

Second, the AFIR (*Alternative Fuel Infrastructure Regulation*) imposes a complementary statutory obligation to supply shoreside power by 2030 to:

- Container vessels: checking in more than 100 port-calls per year.
- Large ro-pax ferries: logging more than 40 port-calls per year.
- Mega-cruise liners: tracking above 25 port-calls per calendar year.

These supranational regulations are therefore forcefully matching the electrical demand of shipping lines with the shoreside supply of port complexes. Pursuant to the latest datasets compiled by the CLIA, 166 cruise ships are already technically equipped to connect to shoreside grids, representing 58% of the total global fleet. This proportion is forecasted to accelerate violently, surpassing 75% by 2030 with 239 fully compliant vessels.

As for the globalized cellular container fleet, approximately 20% of active vessels are currently capable of plugging in at berth. However, this metric logs a powerful upward surge across firmed greenfield newbuilds, where the technical equipment rate skyrocketed from 30% in 2022 to over 60% across the 2025 calendar year.

Within the port ecosystem, cruise ships and short-sea ferries initially operated as the vanguard pioneers of cold ironing, albeit acting under the restricted impulse of isolated, forward-looking ports. While contemporary operations focus strictly on baseline shoreside power generation for hotel loads, the greenfield challenge of recharging massive hybrid battery packs is rapidly emerging, most distinctively across the trans-channel and short-sea ferry sectors.

Crucially, managing the heavy capital expenditures and power grid delivery across cruise and ferry terminals standardly concerns sovereign public port authorities, given that these specialized sites are rarely under private concession. Symmetrically and conversely, establishing high-voltage electrification infrastructures across container terminals will fall directly under the financial and operational responsibility of private terminal operators and port handling companies.

For France, the maritime electrification movement is long-established, yet the pace of industrial execution must henceforth be violently accelerated. The pioneer initiatives were executed across the Mediterranean range under the CENAQ project, initially rolled out within the Eastern basins of the Port of Marseille, expanding to Toulon in 2024, Sète in 2025, and scheduled for Nice in 2029.

Symmetrically, infrastructure deployments inside Le Havre encompass three high-voltage berths at the cruise terminal, commissioned across the 2025–2026 timeline. Dunkirk's container terminal has been fully equipped since 2020, while the Grand Port Maritime of Nantes Saint-Nazaire is currently finalizing installations across its ro-ro berths, and La Rochelle is structuring a dedicated bulk berth.

Nevertheless, a titanesque workload remains outstanding, as cold ironing grids must still be engineered across the short-sea ferry berths stretching from Calais to Saint-Malo, the cruise quays of Honfleur, Rouen, Cherbourg, and Bordeaux, alongside the heavy commercial container terminals of Port 2000 (Le Havre) and Fos-sur-Mer (Marseille).

The bottleneck is, in truth, continental. According to a landmark empirical study commissioned by Transport & Environment (T&E) and executed by the classification society DNV, across the 2025 calendar year, only four ports out of Europe's 31 largest gateways have successfully installed or ordered more than half of the shoreside power infrastructures required for 2030.

Shockingly, a mere 20% of the aggregate facilities mandated by European supranational regulations have been commissioned or firmed in order books. The gateways of Algeciras, Livorno, Świnoujście, and Valletta stand as the exclusive exceptions commanding a compliance rate superior to 50%, with Algeciras and Hamburg distinguishing themselves through their high volume of operational high-voltage connections.

Symmetrically and conversely, several premier European macro-hubs—most distinctively Antwerp, Dublin, Gdańsk, and Lisbon—have strictly initiated zero cold-ironing infrastructure projects. Rotterdam, Barcelona, Valencia, Bremerhaven, and Le Havre identically accuse a severe, noted backlog. Data remained unavailable to the NGO regarding Marseille, Dunkirk, Tallinn, and Piraeus; consequently, the report explicitly casts severe doubts over the capacity of European ports to reach full regulatory compliance by the 2030 horizon.

European port authorities are colliding face-to-face with a monumental grid challenge, structured around an immense wall of investments backed by uncertain economic models. Modern vessels command monumental power inputs at birth: a mega-cruise liner requires 10 to 20 MW, large-tonnage container ships consume 5 to 10 MW, and conventional ro-pax ferries pull 2 to 8 MW.

To establish a clear civil baseline, the instantaneous electrical consumption of a single luxury cruise ship at berth matches the absolute energy demand of a small city of 30,000 inhabitants. The premier unassailable evidence dictates that harbor infrastructure must be completely upscaled and macro-dimensioned to sustain these immense electrical loads without destabilizing or triggering brownouts across the surrounding territorial public grid. Next-generation hybrid vessels packing massive battery rooms will add an even more massive, regular charging demand (requiring 5 MW for a standard six-hour slow charge, or soaring to 15 MW for a high-velocity two-hour rapid charge)

This high-voltage power demand and the required velocity of battery replenishment mandate heavy, long-term engineering works stretching from the national high-voltage transmission networks and substations—managed in France by RTE and Enedis—all the way down to the concrete quayside, multiplying localized substations to absorb severe peak-shaving spikes without penalizing alternative regional urban and industrial consumptions

The deployment of Smart Grids (intelligent networks) will operate as the ultimate tool to optimize these titanesque portside electrical ecosystems. Furthermore, electricity must simultaneously irrigate shoreside cargo-handling gantry cranes, fleets of light enterprise vehicles, heavy logistics trucking corridors, and inland river barges.

Concurrently, the port perimeter of the future will convert into an energy micro-generation zone, packing industrial photovoltaic arrays and alternative marine renewable assets. The port of the future will be strictly electric.

Sovereign port authorities and terrestrial grid managers face decades of complex civil engineering to structure the network of high-voltage subsea cables and technical transformation stations. At the immediate quayside, this materializes through articulating crane arms or automated high-voltage cable reels, advanced load management software matrices, and frequency-conversion connection boxes [INDEX]. For an average macro-hub, this translates into installing dozens of kilometers of heavy cabling and retrofitting 10 to 50 individual berths.

Everything commands a prohibitive financial price. Capital expenditures (CapEx) are monumental, blending heavy civil engineering, network reinforcement, and frequency switchgears. The logical economic model structures a financial split balancing the public port authority (underwriting the main backbone high-voltage network) and the private terminal concessionaires (financing the final quayside connection boxes and vessel delivery arms), where they exist.

Port authorities must subsequently attempt to invoice these massive equipment costs to calling ocean carriers, while maintaining access tariffs within an admissible, commercially viable bracket. The implementation of a unified European port electricity tariff is increasingly envisioned by experts to entirely erase the risk of trade distortions and artificial competition across competing gateways.

For ports, the contemporary era completely shatters the legacy model of single, isolated raccordements costing €1 million to €2 million for a medium ferry; it demands the master-planning of a unified, macroeconomic electrical grid system [.

Illustrating this scaling up of capital budgets:

- The Port of Rotterdam has firmed a €160 million budget to electrify eight kilometers of commercial quays, deploying 35 high-power connection points.
- The Port of Calais has mapped out a €30 million to €40 million expenditure to equip six short-sea ferry berths.
- For France's premier Grands Ports Maritimes, the baseline financial bill will systematically hover around one hundred million euros per gateway, spread across a rolling decade.

This massive funding matrix is sustained by a combination of European public capital subsidies, national state financing, and internal port corporate budgets. Most distinctively, the European Union has unlocked a powerful dedicated subsidy architecture—the AFIF—which has already been successfully activated and drawn down by HAROPA Port (Le Havre), the Port of Bordeaux, and Saint-Malo to anchor their energy transitions.

Paul TOURRET

English translation generated by Gemini AI