

Maritime Summary Note n°267 – January 2025

« An overview of containerised cargo handling in Europe and the Mediterranean »

For the past thirty years, the shifting dynamics surrounding port handling and container terminals have stood as one of the paramount subjects within global containerization. Throughout the 1990s and 2000s, the maritime world witnessed the aggressive rise of specialized global terminal operators expanding across entire port ranges and continents. Conglomerates such as PSA International, Hutchison Port Holdings (HPH), and DP World (DPW) rapidly accumulated strategic strongholds across a multitude of gateways.

This era was subsequently followed by the strategic entry of the ocean liners themselves: European global carriers and numerous Asian shipping lines aggressively sought to acquire proprietary dedicated terminals or systematically corporate-partnered as minority shareholders. The core objective focused on locking in dominant hubs across geostrategic corridors and newly engineered terminals worldwide. Over the past decade, Chinese state-backed ambitions coupled with intense waves of mergers and acquisitions thoroughly reshaped these operational positions.

More recently, the windfall super-profits extracted during the global public health crisis finally endowed the largest shipping alliances with unprecedented capital development capacities. Where does port handling stand across European and Mediterranean gateways in 2025?

Strategic Macro-Maneuvers Across the German Range

While Germany's maritime coastline is geographically restricted, the nation concentrates its entire port infrastructure energy onto two primary gateways. The historic Port of Hamburg remains an estuarine harbor, yet it is exceptionally well-connected to the industrialized hinterlands of Central Europe. Concurrently, the Port of Bremerhaven commands high-quality operational standards, but lacks meaningful avenues for territorial expansion, leaving that growth potential to a greenfield deepwater container gateway: Wilhelmshaven (*JadeWeserPort*), which, however, has not yet captured substantial traffic volumes.

The leading German player in containerization, Hapag-Lloyd, was historically not renowned for heavy vertical integration investments in port handling. For a prolonged period, it merely held a 25.1% minority stake in a single Hamburg terminal, prior to making the strategic decision in 2022 to take over the 30% stake in the Container Terminal Wilhelmshaven (CTW) that had been abandoned by Maersk. Perfectly conscious of the gateway's territorial constraints, the ocean carrier concurrently acquired 50% of the port's rail terminal, recognizing that any future volume expansion will be driven strictly by rail freight modal shifts.

Meanwhile, Hamburg became the theater for a highly unusual yet fiercely political controversy. In 2022, China's state-owned giant COSCO Shipping Ports sought to acquire a 24.9% minority stake in the Container Terminal Tollerort (CTT), where it had long reigned as the premier commercial customer. As a baseline reminder, acquiring larger or smaller equity stakes in terminals is a standard business-as-usual practice worldwide, and COSCO's maneuvers fit seamlessly within this global pattern.

Suddenly, however, the German political establishment and even the European Commission highly instrumentalized the spectrum of a Chinese takeover, whereas significantly more massive Chinese port assets already exist across Europe than a mere quarter of a small German terminal—most notably COSCO's total control over the Port of Piraeus in Greece and its dominant positioning in Zeebrugge (Antwerp-Bruges). Auditing COSCO's equity stakes in global gateways reveals a mere mote in the eye, when the *Made in China* footprint within the globalized economy represents literally a forest of structural beams.

A true macroeconomic deflagration struck Hamburg in late 2023, when the global leader Mediterranean Shipping Company (MSC) stepped forward to become a co-shareholder alongside the City of Hamburg in HHLA (*Hamburger Hafen und Logistik AG*), the undisputed number-one port handling and logistics operator on the Elbe River. Since 2024, the Swiss-based conglomerate controls 49.9% of HHLA without forfeiting its powerful operational footprint in Bremerhaven, secured through the strategic renewal of its terminal concession with Eurogate¹. Consequently, in terms of sovereign port presence, MSC has ascended as the undisputed number-one player in Germany.

Concurrently, A.P. Moller-Maersk—henceforth allied with Hapag-Lloyd within the newly operational Gemini Cooperation—retains its joint-venture terminal with Eurogate in Bremerhaven. Conversely, as of late 2024, CMA CGM² had not yet successfully closed an agreement to secure its own dedicated facility on the projected extensions of Hamburg’s Eurogate terminal.

Within this wider North European panoramic matrix, the Port of Aarhus in Denmark introduces greenfield legal frictions. MSC’s specialized port terminal subsidiary, Terminal Investment Limited (TIL)³, was officially selected by the port authority to operate a new terminal facility. This selection triggered an aggressive judicial challenge from Maersk, whose port arm, APM Terminals, already present on site, claimed a statutory right of pre-emption. This maneuver underscores that MSC operates within a continuous cycle of aggressive position-taking across all of Europe, targeting secondary strategic gateways such as Klaipėda in Lithuania (secured in 2019) or the Port of Liverpool in the United Kingdom (via a 2021 joint-venture agreement with the *Peel Ports Group*).

A highly diversified and dense presence across the Rhine-Scheldt Delta

With the formal unification of Antwerp and Zeebrugge (Port of Antwerp-Bruges), a single powerful Belgian port authority henceforth embodies national containerization, whereas within the Netherlands, seaborne trade is structured uniquely around Rotterdam. These two European port giants concentrate concurrently the highest volume of containerized freight, deep-sea ocean liner networks, and advanced intermodal services. The strategic gateways of the Delta serve a massive continental European hinterland, while expanding their logistics reach via high-frequency feeder services across a vast maritime space stretching from Northern Spain to Iceland and Scandinavia.

In terms of spatial expansion, the heavy land reclamation master project of Maasvlakte 2 in Rotterdam successfully unlocked operational capacities for the foreseeable future. Over the coming years, both APM Terminals (Maersk) and DP World (DPW)⁴ are slated to aggressively expand their respective terminals (each adding 1,000 meters of deepwater quayside and 50 hectares of yard). Concurrently, on Maasvlakte 1, Hutchison Ports (HPH) masterminds greenfield expansion blueprints, focusing primarily on the facility it successfully recaptured from Maersk (Rotterdam Delta II Terminal) and on the mega-hub shared in a joint venture with MSC (ECT Delta Terminal). Regarding their third major asset (ECT Euromax Terminal), the paramount institutional highlight centers on the strategic entry of Taiwan’s Evergreen Marine Corporation⁵ as a minority equity shareholder.

Across the Belgian border in Antwerp, the port authority is actively advancing the construction of a massive new tidal basin—the Saeftinghedok—engineered on the left bank of the Scheldt River to inject 7 million TEUs of greenfield capacity into the hub. Notably, zero significant equity or shareholder shifts have been recorded over recent years within the Antwerp terminal landscape. Roughly evaluated, PSA International rigidly retains sole corporate control over two smaller legacy terminals, while maintaining its premier, high-volume joint-venture partnership with MSC (MPET — MSC PSA European Terminal). Concurrently, DP World has operated since 2005 the flagship terminal of the Left Bank, situated inside the tidal Deurganckdok, keeping its corporate capital open to strategic port alliances⁶. Finally, the CSP Zeebrugge Terminal rests entirely within the sovereign corporate orbit of COSCO Shipping Ports.

¹ Eurogate : 50% BLG (public), 50% Eurokai (private)

² Terminal Link : CMA CGM 49%, CMPorts (China Merchants).

³ TIL : MSC 60%, Global Infrastructure Partners 30%, GIC Private Limited (Singapore sovereign wealth fund) à 10%.

⁴ RWG : DPW 30%, Terminal Link 30%, HMM 20% et ONE 20%.

⁵ ECT Euromax : HPH 45%, Evergreen 20%, Cosco 17,5%, Silk Road Fund (Chine) 17,5%

⁶ Antwerp Gateway: DPW 60%, Cosco 20%, Terminal Link 10%, Duisport Group 10%

French Gateways and the Macroeconomics of Inland Axis Strategies

Commanding a scope that remains predominantly national without successfully capturing the entirety of their natural geopolitical hinterlands, French ports cannot fail to display intense expansionist ambitions. The implementation of integrated axis strategies (the Northern, Seine, and Rhône corridors) delivers a perfect testament to the structural policies required to densify the mastery of domestic consumer markets while aggressively pushing supply chains into the conquest territories represented primarily by the Grand Est region and, eventually, adjacent Central European marketplaces.

The Port of Dunkirk represents a prime, high-performance operational stronghold for CMA CGM (channeled through its subsidiary Terminal Link), and the Grand Port Maritime has locked into its long-term planning the massive expansion master project baptized "Cap 2020". Quite naturally, CMA CGM—operating through its flagship stevedoring arm GMP (Générale de Manutention Portuaire)⁷—maintains a heavily dominant presence inside Port 2000 in Le Havre, commanding the Terminal de France (TdF) alongside the historical North Terminals (Quai des Amériques, Quai de l'Europe) located within the tidal basin.

Historically, during the initial allocation of the Port 2000 concessions, the legacy Le Havre-based stevedoring group Perrigault had successfully secured a substantial headcount of berths, running one terminal asset under proprietary control, a secondary facility in a joint venture with Maersk (until 2014), and a third asset partnered with MSC. However, in 2021, MSC finalized the complete corporate buyout of Perrigault, thereby capturing total control over the remaining strategic segment of Port 2000. Operating under the corporate banner of Terminaux à Conteneurs de France (TCF), the Swiss-Italian global leader disposes of an exceptionally privileged positioning in Le Havre, which it intends to aggressively reinforce through a monumental €900 million industrial investment plan.

On the Mediterranean range, the Grand Port Maritime de Marseille (GPMM) validated a breakthrough expansion blueprint, greenlighting the launch of the "Fos 3XL" project. Engineered to add 450 supplementary meters of heavy deepwater quayside to Basin 1 (Darse 1) through a €50 million public-private investment, the project extends the continuity of the two existing terminals, targeting operational readiness by the 2030 timeline. Within this framework, technical expansion pathways for the Seayard terminal⁸ have been explicitly brought forward. Concurrently, across Marseille's East Basins (Bassins Est), the Terminal Méditerranée Europe (TME), under the corporate umbrella of CMA Terminals, is slated to undergo an extensive technical modernization.

The operational reality of these integrated axis strategies in France transitions fundamentally through the modernization of inland river ports; close analytical attention must therefore be directed at the diverse investments structuring river container terminals. In 2018, the Port Autonome de Strasbourg (PAS) officially inaugurated a brand-new trimodal terminal on the Rhine River in Lauterbourg, backed by a unique, highly original public-private syndicate of shareholders⁹.

Surgically moving along this path, the Compagnie Nationale du Rhône (CNR) formally awarded the long-term sub-concession to operate the twin container terminals of the Port of Lyon (Port Édouard Herriot) to CMA Terminals, allied with local public institutional partners. Concurrently, MSC, utilizing its specialized logistics and inland terminal subsidiary MEDLOG, opened a breakthrough trimodal inland dry port in Bruyères-sur-Oise, strategically positioned to capture freight flows across the western sector of the Île-de-France (Greater Paris) region. Finally, within this inland waterway network, the continuous logistics investments executed by the German intermodal specialist Contargo across Rhine-range services and Northern French river ports remain highly structural for the range.

⁷ In 2022, the specialized private equity firm iCon Infrastructure successfully acquired **the 49% equity stake** held by DP World within GMP, the premier operator managing the container terminals in Le Havre. Conversely, the Dubai-based state-owned conglomerate rigidly retained its joint shareholding structure partnered with CMA CGM's Terminal Link to operate Eurofos inside the Port of Marseille-Fos.

Concurrently, on the Atlantic range in Montoir-de-Bretagne (Saint-Nazaire), Terminal Link operates the TGO terminal in a close corporate partnership alongside the French family-owned maritime group Kuhn, which strategically bought out Bolloré Ports France across the 2023 calendar year.

⁸ Seayard, : MSC 50%, Maersk 42%, Cosco 8%.

⁹ LRT : Paris Terminal (Haropa) 40%, PAS 30%, Haeger & Schmidt Logistics (Allemagne) 15%, Sogestran (France) 15%.

Morocco and Spain: Exponentially heightened interest from ocean carriers

By virtue of their exceptional geographical positioning, Spanish ports on the Mediterranean façade respond directly to domestic economic needs and to the transshipment hub requirements demanded by modern containerization. Barcelona and Valencia operate as mixed gateways handling both gateway and transshipment cargo, whereas Algeciras functions strictly as a pure transshipment hub. This highly efficient macroeconomic model was subsequently replicated by its Moroccan neighbor at Tanger Med and by the Portuguese port of Sines.

The Western entrance to the Mediterranean Sea now forms one of the paramount port hinges of the global logistics system, a matrix further expanded by the arrival of a brand-new greenfield gateway, Nador, engineered on the northeastern coast of Morocco.

The Strait of Gibraltar has emerged as the textbook example of aggressive position-taking executed by competing maritime actors around major transshipment zones. The rollout of Tanger Med 1 in 2007–2008 witnessed the direct capital commitment of Maersk (Terminal 1 — TC1) and a trio composed of Eurogate, MSC, and CMA CGM (Terminal 2 — TC2). Subsequently, the Phase 2 expansion executed between 2019 and 2021 enabled Maersk to secure a massive new facility (Terminal 4 — TC4), while a greenfield corporate trio organized itself on Terminal 3 (TC3), bringing together Eurogate, Hapag-Lloyd, and the Moroccan national port champion, Marsa Maroc.

CMA CGM—which has controlled one of the main container terminals in Casablanca since its historical acquisition of Comanav—deliberately refrained from investing in Tanger Med Phase 2. Instead, in 2021, the French carrier acquired a 50% equity stake in the TTIA terminal in Algeciras. Most decisively, in 2024, the Marseille-based group signed a binding agreement to become a 49% co-shareholder alongside Marsa Maroc to operate the premier terminal inside Morocco's brand-new infrastructure megaproject, baptized Nador West Med. Regarding the port's second container terminal, technical investment tracks targeting COSCO Shipping Ports have been explicitly brought forward.

Conversely, MSC has structurally remained outside the port complexes of the Strait. The Swiss-Italian global leader is heavily anchored in Sines, Portugal, where the container terminal has been operated since 2004 by PSA International. By the end of the current decade, this facility is slated to double its active operational capacity to absorb projected deep-sea volumes. Conversely, the Sines port authority failed over recent years to identify a concessionaire or private investor willing to back its projected second container terminal.

The Strait of Gibraltar regional logistics perimeter, interpreted in its widest geopolitical sense, seamlessly encompasses the Port of Valencia. Inside the Spanish gateway, MSC has operated its own proprietary terminal since 2006 and has been officially awarded the long-term concession to build and run the greenfield 5 million TEU mega-terminal scheduled for 2030, a tender where the Swiss giant stood as the sole bidder.

Furthermore, fortified by the monumental corporate war chest accumulated during the recent container shipping boom, MSC took a powerful capital position in 2024 inside the Port of Barcelona, capturing 50% of the flagship BEST Terminal (Barcelona Europe South Terminal) from Hutchison Ports (HPH). The alternative major actor operating in the Catalan gateway remains Maersk¹⁰, which thoroughly consolidated its regional presence by swallowing the local Spanish handling group TCB (Terminal de Contenedores de Barcelona) in 2015.

Spain has identically operated as a choice conquest territory for Chinese state-backed capital. In 2017, COSCO acquired a 51% controlling stake in Noatum Port Holdings, instantly seizing command of strategic terminals in Valencia and Bilbao. In 2023, this subsidiary—now rebranded COSCO Shipping Ports Spain—witnessed the strategic equity entry of CMA CGM, which purchased 49% of the Valencia terminal and 38% of Bilbao. The French group's aggressive vertical integration strategy across the Iberian Peninsula concurrently targets terrestrial rail corridors, materialized by its 2021 acquisition of the private rail freight operator Continental Rail, enabling it to build a robust multimodal network to directly challenge Maersk and MSC¹¹.

¹⁰ Maersk sold its small container terminal in Castellón to AD Ports Group. The Emirati conglomerate concurrently acquired Noatum, the Spanish general cargo and bulk handling operator (which commands no container terminals).

¹¹ MSC acquired CP Carga from the Portuguese national railways in 2016 and, in 2023, signed a binding agreement to take over a 50% controlling stake in RENFE Mercancías from the Spanish national railways.

Structural Stagnation and Fragmented Reconfigurations Across Italy and the Adriatic

It is structurally accurate to state that the Italian port landscape is characterized by limited institutional mobility. The boutique terminal project in Savona is completed, and localized infrastructure works underway in Genoa, La Spezia, or Trieste fail to deeply alter the macro-physiognomy of the peninsula's waterfront. The country has, in fact, permanently lost its historical transshipment hub status across Sardinia (Cagliari) and Taranto.

Concurrently, on the Northern Adriatic range, the Slovenian gateway of Koper still manages to optimize its spatial footprint, while across the Croatian border, a brand-new container terminal is under active construction in Rijeka. Simultaneously, the Port of Trieste is aggressively positioning itself to capture the connectivity stakes of Central European landlocked marketplaces via the Adriatic sea corridor.

At the dawn of the 2000s, two dominant terminal operators carved up the Italian port landscape. On one side stood Germany's Eurogate, following its landmark integration of Contship Italia in 1999. On the other side reigned the Singaporean heavyweight PSA International, which scaled up via the acquisition of the VTE (Voltri Terminal Europa) in Genoa and a container terminal in Venice in 1998.

Subsequently, leveraging a succession of targeted corporate acquisitions, MSC meticulously woven a highly dense national network across Italy through a matrix of public-private co-shareholders: spanning Genoa, La Spezia, Livorno, Civitavecchia, Naples, Ancona, Venice, and Trieste. Most decisively, in 2019, the group executed the 100% buyout of the Gioia Tauro mega-terminal from Eurogate. The Calabrian gateway henceforth functions as MSC's absolute central Mediterranean transshipment hub—whereas CMA CGM's primary Mediterranean hub remains firmly anchored in Malta at Marsaxlokk¹².

Moving along alternative vertical integration paths, Hapag-Lloyd acquired a 49% equity stake in the Italian logistics group Spinelli in 2024, successfully becoming a co-shareholder in a boutique container facility it utilizes in Genoa, coupled with an identical asset in Salerno near Naples. Concurrently, Maersk had previously secured a foothold in Savona through the new Vado Ligure semi-automated terminal (commissioned in 2019), which explicitly features a minority capital participation from COSCO Shipping Ports.

Looking out to 2025, the Danish carrier will officially open the second container terminal of Rijeka in Croatia, engineered as a strategic gateway to irrigate Central European consumer markets. Finally, the Port of Trieste projects the construction of a massive greenfield mega-terminal slated for completion by 2029, an infrastructure concession that will undoubtedly ignite a fierce, high-level corporate warfare among global carriers and GTOs.

The Eastern Mediterranean and the Egyptian Strategic Surge

Across the Greek maritime landscape, first-rate attention is centered on the Port of Piraeus, which was entirely privatized by the Athens government in favor of China's state-owned giant COSCO Shipping Ports (which currently commands a 61% controlling stake in the capital). Naturally, by no means has COSCO's sovereign presence altered the core reality of China's geopolitical footprint inside the Mediterranean, nor has it transformed the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) into anything more than strategic dots mapped out on the maritime grid.

To the North, the Port of Thessaloniki (ThPA) has been controlled since 2017 by a private consortium bringing together *Deutsche Invest Equity Partners* (47%), CMA CGM's *Terminal Link* (33%), and *Belterra Investments* (20%). To expand its regional reach, ThPA successfully executed the corporate acquisition of the adjacent Aegean gateway of Volos in 2023.

Concurrently, the Turkish port landscape has been heavily marked by the aggressive entry of global ocean carriers while leaving operational space for powerful domestic conglomerates. Today, DP World (DPW) operates a high-capacity terminal within the Izmit industrial gulf, while Singapore's PSA International is anchored in Mersin. While Maersk permanently exited the Turkish gateway of Izmir in 2018¹³, MSC systematically woven its presence across the Sea of Marmara range by capturing strategic equity stakes in Istanbul-Ambarli and Tekirdağ. Notably, at the Ambarli hub, the Chinese state-backed

¹² Malta Freeport Terminals: Terminal Link 50%, Yilport Holding 50%. For the European range, Yilport Holding (Turkey) disposes of a strategic network of container and bulk terminals spanning Sweden, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Turkey. Crucially, the billionaire tycoon Robert Yildirim concurrently controls a 24% equity stake within CMA CGM.

¹³ The group sold its assets in Russia because of the war

conglomerates of COSCO and China Merchants Port Holdings (CMPort) have operated in a joint venture since 2015, while Qatar's *QTerminals* anchors its presence further south in Antalya.

Within the Levant sector, the market records the continuous consolidation of Germany's Eurogate in Cyprus (since 2017) and the heavy infrastructure investments executed by CMA CGM across Syria and Lebanon. The French global leader has operated the container terminal of Latakia since 2009, rigidly securing the renewal of its concession in November 2024. Moving down the coastline, the Marseille-based group acquired the Tripoli terminal in Northern Lebanon from *Gulftainer* in 2021, prior to winning the sovereign long-term concession to rebuild and operate the Port of Beirut in 2022 following the catastrophic warehouse explosions.

Crucially, Egypt is aggressively asserting itself as the Eastern Mediterranean equivalent to the Strait of Gibraltar logistics cluster. The nation's premier transshipment mega-hub was established in 2004 at the northern exit of the Suez Canal—Port Said (Suez Canal Container Terminal — SCCT)—masterminded by Maersk, backed by a 20% minority capital participation from COSCO. A greenfield wave of industrial expansion is slated to rollout over the coming years to thoroughly secure SCCT's positioning as the single largest container terminal in the Eastern Mediterranean range.

Concurrently, a dense matrix of global carriers has recently locked in heavy capital commitments across alternative Egyptian gateways, given that anchoring a hub at the canal's outlet remains a first-rate geostrategic asset—even if the contemporary Red Sea shipping crisis (Houthi missile disruptions) [INDEX] temporarily dampens short-term investor interest across this specific corridor.

Nevertheless, a monumental target metric of 10 million TEUs of supplementary handling capacity is openly anticipated across Egypt's Mediterranean waterfront. The core macro-economic strategy targets forging a massive Eastern Mediterranean port platform perfectly symmetric to the mega-hubs flanking the Strait of Gibraltar.

Moving along this path, CMA CGM seized a prime tactical opportunity to establish its own dedicated facility inside the Port of Alexandria (Tahya Misr Terminal) in 2021. In parallel, Hutchison Ports (HPH), which controls a dominant share of Egypt's port architecture, is progressively opening its terminal capital to strategic ocean liner partnerships. In 2022, HPH signed binding joint-venture agreements with the Hong Kong-based conglomerate OOCL (*COSCO Group*) at El Dekheila, and with Taiwan's Evergreen to operate the newly engineered deepwater port of Abu Qir.

To the East, Hapag-Lloyd corporate-partnered with Eurogate to anchor its regular loops inside a brand-new container terminal in Damietta. Finally, at the southern gateway of the canal, DP World has operated a high-performance terminal in Sokhna since 2009, now expanded through an adjacent industrial logistics park. A secondary mega-terminal is scheduled for full commercial commissioning across the 2026 timeline, structurally associating HPH alongside COSCO and CMA CGM.

A Vast and Interlocking Matrix of Interests

The Euro-Mediterranean container terminal landscape continues to evolve dynamically at the whim of strategic opportunities, driven overwhelmingly by the sheer financial power of global ocean carriers determined to lock in absolute command over their vessel port-calls. Henceforth heavily consolidated and commanding unprecedented liquidity, the top-tier container lines thoroughly dominate a geopolitical game where independent Global Terminal Operators (GTOs) no longer truly hold the upper hand.

The overriding macroeconomic diagnostic of 2025-2026 highlights the near-hegemonial expansion of MSC, which is making a clean sweep across European and Mediterranean coastlines. Within the highly competitive Northern Range, the Swiss-Italian titan—historically concentrated primarily in Antwerp and Bremerhaven—has aggressively extended its tentacles, capturing dominant strongholds in Le Havre (TCF) and Hamburg (HHLA).

Following an identical vertical integration path, CMA CGM deploys a symmetric strategy on a slightly more localized yet remarkable scale, multiplying hubs across Morocco (Nador West Med) and Egypt. Conversely, A.P. Moller-Maersk appears to have decelerated its asset-acquisition pace, focusing instead on internal network optimization. Meanwhile, Hapag-Lloyd has successfully entered the infrastructure race, aggressively entering the boardroom shareholding tables of key regional terminals (Spinelli in Italy, Marsa Maroc in Tanger Med).

Most significantly, the historical Chinese state-backed corporate wave spearheaded by COSCO Shipping Ports appears today to have hit a geopolitical ceiling, notwithstanding the minor minority fraction of capital controversially captured inside Hamburg's Tollerort terminal; Asian independent

terminal operators are currently restricting their capital movements to targeted portfolio shares in Rotterdam.

The brutal consolidation waves of 2016–2017 generated a highly restricted inner circle of mega-carriers, and the windfall "super-profits" harvested during the global pandemic lockdowns endowed them with a monumental corporate war chest (*super trésor de guerre*). This liquidity is actively deployed to finance greenfield fleets of alternatively propelled newbuilds, aggressively diversify into third-party landside logistics, and rigidly maintain a strategy of absolute mastery over container terminals across Europe and worldwide.

While independent port handling conglomerates remain active players, either operating solo or within complex joint ventures across all continents, it has become infinitely more critical for maritime analysts to audit the corporate maneuvers of the ocean carriers. It is they, and they alone, who are actively weaving the global structural matrix of containerization—which has ascended as one of the ultimate physical realities of contemporary economic globalization.

Paul TOURRET

English translation generated by Gemini AI